

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Evidences of drought stress as a predisposing factor to Scots pine decline in Valle d'Aosta (Italy)

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/91786> since 2018-03-26T10:49:09Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s10342-011-0570-9

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Evidences of drought stress as a predisposing factor to Scots pine decline in Valle d'Aosta (Italy)

Giorgio Vacchiano¹, Matteo Garbarino¹, Enrico Borgogno Mondino², Renzo Motta¹

¹ Department of Agriculture, Silviculture and Land Management, University of Torino, Via L. da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy

² Department of Agricultural, Forestry and Environmental Economics and Engineering, University of Torino, Via L. da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy

Abstract

Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) forests of many inner Alpine valleys have recently displayed a quick loss of vitality. A decline disease has been suggested as the cause, with drought as the main predisposing factor and the additional contribution of biotic agents inciting tree die-back. This study is focused on Valle d'Aosta, a dry, inner-Alpine region in NW Italy. We inferred vitality changes between years 2000 and 2007 by computing reductions in enhanced vegetation index (EVI). Image differencing was carried out on pre-processed Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) imagery taken in late spring-time and validated against ancillary ground truth. We: (1) tested whether EVI reductions in Scots pine forests were significantly higher than those of a control species and of a wetter region for the same species, (2) analyzed decline incidence as a function of site and topographic variables, and (3) assessed the relative influence of site and stand structure on decline probability by means of path analysis. Mean EVI in the study area increased due to an early onset of the 2007 growing season. Nevertheless, the incidence of decline was 6.3% and significantly greater for Scots pine than the control species and site. Low-elevation, northerly exposed sites exhibited the highest incidence of decline.

Path analysis suggested that the most important determinants of decline probability were slope, solar radiation, and stand sparseness.

Keywords: *Pinus sylvestris* - Decline disease – Drought - Enhanced vegetation index - MODIS

Introduction

Unusually high mortality of Scots pines (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) has recently been observed in many inner-alpine valleys of Italy (Minerbi et al. 2006; Vacchiano et al. 2008), Switzerland (Dobbertin et al. 2005), Austria (Cech and Perny 2000), and southern France (Thabeet et al. 2009). When analyzed one at a time, such mortality episodes have been explained by a number of different agents, including water shortage (Bigler et al. 2006), tree and herbaceous competition (Weber et al. 2008; Giuggiola et al. 2010), and

mistletoe (*Viscum album* ssp. *Austriacum*) (Dobbertin and Rigling 2006). Wood-boring insects, nematodes, and fungi have been found on dying trees, but they did not appear to be the main cause of mortality (Polomski et al. 2006; Gonthier et al. 2007, 2010; Wermelinger et al. 2008; Giordano et al. 2009).

Even if Scots pine is considered a drought-tolerant species, pine growth and survival in the inner Alps are shown to be strongly limited by high temperatures and summer rain shortage (Oberhuber et al. 1998; Rebetez and Dobbertin 2004; Eilmann et al. 2006). Therefore, it is reasonable to consider pine mortality events as different occurrences of a unique, region-wide climatic response. Recent changes in precipitation regimes, coupled with elevated temperatures and the occurrence of repeated dry years (Schar et al. 2004; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2007), have proven damaging to normally drought-tolerant tree species in other temperate mountain forests (e.g., Hasenauer et al. 1999; Breshears et al. 2005; Guari'n and Taylor 2005; Shaw 2006; van Mantgem et al. 2009; Allen et al. 2010). Climatic anomalies may act as a predisposing factor (*sensu* Manion 1991) to pine decline, increasing physiological stress (Waring 1987; Breda et al. 2006; McDowell et al. 2008) and thus sensitivity to secondary pathogens and insects (Logan et al. 2003; Rouault et al. 2006).

We hypothesized that recent dry periods were the strongest determinant of region-wide Scots pine decline. In order to test this assumption, we pursued the following specific aims: (1) assess the extent and intensity of decline in a dry alpine region following recurrent dry years; (2) test whether stands located on drought-sensitive sites, e.g., inner alpine areas, south-facing slopes and lower elevations, i.e., with a more negative water balance due to higher evapotranspiration (Baumgartner et al. 1983) exhibit a higher decline; and (3) assess the importance of interacting agents that may predispose stands to decline in sensitive areas, including site, climate, and forest stand structure.

Study area

The study is focused on the Valle d'Aosta region (Fig. 1) that covers about 3,262 km². Topography is shaped by a main east–west valley with several north–south protrusions, legacies of the last ice-age. Climate is continental with cold winters and hot summers; July and January monthly means may differ by as much as 22°C. Mean annual rainfall in Aosta (45° 26'N, 7° 11'E) for the years 1961–1990 was 494 mm, i.e., much lower than average on the Alps (Biancotti et al. 1998), with an aridity period extending from June to September. Winter precipitation usually comes as snow. Like other inner-alpine valleys, Valle d'Aosta is particularly subject to multi-year drought spells, as those in the early 1990s and between 2003 and 2006 (Fig. 2; see also Rebetez et al. 2009).




Fig. 1 MODIS image tile and study and control sites (geographical datum: UTM WGS 1984). Scots pine coverage in black. Data source for shaded relief: Jarvis et al. (2008).




Fig. 2 Cumulated Palmer Drought Severity Index between 1980 and 2003 for the city of Aosta (source: van der Schrier et al. 2007) and smoothed 10-year mean (solid line).

The study area exhibits both crystalline (granites) and metamorphic bedrocks, but most landscape is covered by quaternary deposits of glacial, gravitative, or colluvial origin. Soils belong to the series of western and central Alpine soil on igneous and metamorphic rocks (Costantini et al. 2004) and are mostly represented by shallow soils (Lithic, Umbric and Dystric Leptosols), eroded soils (Eutric and Calcaric Regosols), acid soils

with organic matter, iron oxides and aluminum accumulation (Dystric Cambisols, Haplic Podzols, Humic Umbrisols), or alluvial soils (Eutric Fluvisols).

Pine stands in the study area occur on 5,372 ha (6% of total forest cover), on both acidic and basic substrates of well-exposed, bottom to mid-elevation slopes. Pure stands cover southerly exposed slopes and shallow soils (Ozenda 1985). A greater broadleaved component, represented predominantly by downy oak (*Quercus pubescens* Willd.) and chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.), exists on sites with a more favorable water balance (Camerano et al. 2007). A Regional Forest Inventory (RFI) was carried out in the years 1992–1994. Base grid size was 500 m; sample plots were circular with a variable radius (8–15 m according to overstory density). For each plot, the following site-and stand-level variables were recorded: geographic coordinates, elevation, slope, forest cover type, percent canopy cover, seedling count, number of mortality trees, occurrence of grazing by domestic or wild ungulates, and severity and cause of crown damage. Species and diameter at breast height (dbh) of all living individuals bigger than 7.5 cm in dbh were recorded to the nearest cm. A total of 130 plots were sampled in the Scots pine cover types. Mean structural descriptors of pine stands from RFI are summarized in Table 1.

To test the drought-related decline hypothesis, we contrasted Scots pine against Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) cover as a control species in the same study area, and to Scots pine on a control site. This was represented by the Northern Piedmont region (Fig. 1), where the amount and seasonal distribution of rainfall are typical of a mesic climate (1,479 mm year⁻¹ in Domodossola, 46° 07'N, 8 17'E, for years 1961–1990).

Table . Summary of structural descriptors of Scots pine stands in Valle d'Aosta for trees larger than 7.5 cm in dbh (n = 130 sampling plots).

	Mean	SD
Trees per hectare	881.8	545.6
Basal area per hectare	25.7 m ²	12.7 m ²
Quadratic mean diameter	20.6 cm	5.1 cm
Percent basal area of Scots pine	93.8%	7.0%
Canopy cover	71.5%	17.7%

Methods

Satellite imagery

Stress in trees may result in the reduction in chlorophyll content, leaf necrosis, defoliation, or tree death, which in turn affect the radiation characteristics of tree and stand canopies (Jackson 1986; Carter 1993; Pen˜uelas and Filella 1998; Carter and Knapp 2001). Forest vegetation change can be remotely detected by

means of spectral vegetation indices, which are linear combinations of satellite-sensor, multispectral reflectance data (Tucker 1979; Bannari et al. 1995). Decline in vegetation greenness and presumably, crown vitality can be detected by the difference of the index images (Collins and Woodcock 1996) through time. The literature dealing with the use of vegetation indices for remote detection of drought stress is vast (e.g., Peters et al. 1991; Liu and Kogan 1996; Breshears et al. 2005; Deshayes et al. 2006). Recently, an enhanced vegetation index (EVI) has been proposed, which is characterized by reduced influence of atmospheric conditions and canopy background signals as compared to the widely used Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). EVI is more sensitive to leaf area index, stand and canopy structure, and plant phenology and stress than NDVI (Huete et al. 2002). EVI is computed on a per-pixel basis as:

$$EVI = G(NIR - red)(NIR + a_1 red - a_2 blue + L)^{-1}$$

where NIR, red, and blue are surface reflectance bands in the near-infrared, red, and blue regions, G is a gain factor to limit the EVI value to a fixed range, L is the canopy background adjustment, a_1 and a_2 are the coefficients of the aerosol resistance term, which uses the blue band to correct for atmospheric differences in the red band (Xiao et al. 2004).¹

We used data from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on board the Earth Observing System-Terra platform, which outputs maps on a global basis every 1–2 days (Justice et al. 1998), beginning from year 2000. MODIS standard products include preprocessed EVI and quality analysis (QA) of the product. Input reflectance data are corrected for nadir and standard sun angles, molecular scattering, ozone absorption, and aerosols (Vermote et al. 2002). In order to filter out residual atmospheric errors, daily EVI data are combined into 16-day maximum-value compositing (MVC) intervals (Holben 1986; van Leeuwen et al. 1999) at a base resolution (grain) of 250 m (MODIS product code MOD13Q1.004).² For production purposes, MODIS maps are output in 1,200 × 1,200 km georeferenced tile units in the integerized sinusoidal (ISIN) geographical projection.

We selected images taken in late springtime (Julian Days 145–159) from the 2000, 2003, 2005, and 2007 datasets. Spring images were preferred so that each year's image was not influenced by that year's summer drought; therefore, two images predated the 2003 drought spell and two images followed. MODIS metadata for the four images reported that cloud cover of the MVC was between 1 and 4%. We re-projected the multi-band images to the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM 32N) projection (datum: WGS84) and split them in single-band images representing EVI and QA. Pixels with a QA score of 2 and 3 (i.e., targets covered by snow/ice or cloudy pixel) as well as EVI scores lower than 0.15 or null (open water) were filtered out. Co-registration between images (Townshend et al. 1992) was visually checked by inspecting pixels in coastal areas (Toscano 2008).

¹ The coefficients adopted in the MODIS-EVI algorithm are $L = 1$, $a_1 = 6$, $a_2 = 7.5$, and $G = 2.5$. These enclose EVI in a range between -1 and +1.

² Data distributed by the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC), located at the US Geological Survey (USGS) Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center (<http://lpdaac.usgs.gov>). Accessed 28 February 2009.

152 Validation of EVI data was performed against an ancillary dataset of 114 plots sampled for mean crown
153 transparency, a variable that we considered indicative of stress at the stand scale (Zarnoch et al. 2004). The
154 dataset covered the study area and nearby regions and was assembled from existing sources with variable
155 plot size, year of sampling, and methods for defoliation assessment (Table 2). For validation purposes alone,
156 stands with a mean crown transparency higher than 20% were classified as declining (Wulff 2002); the
157 cutoff was chosen as to allow harmonization of different sampling methods and amplitude of crown
158 transparency classes. EVI was computed for each site from available MODIS MVC tiles with a maximum
159 time lag of ± 1 year since field assessment. We fitted a logistic regression model of crown transparency
160 (ground truth) using EVI as a predictor. We computed validation metrics for the logistic models such as
161 producer's accuracy, i.e., the proportion of decline pixels correctly classified by the remote sensing method,
162 and Kappa (KHAT) statistics (Cohen 1960; Rosenfield and Fitzpatrick-Lins 1986).

163

164 *Change detection*

165

166 In order to detect significant reflectance changes, we applied an ordinary image differencing technique
167 (Coppin et al. 2004; Lu et al. 2004) to the most and least recent satellite tiles. The variable of interest was the
168 7-year (2007–2000) EVI difference (ΔEVI). To reduce variance in spectral data due to species composition
169 (including the presence of deciduous species, which have brighter foliage), we filtered out pixels with less
170 than 90% overlap to Scots pine cover polygons (Falkenstrom and Ekstrand 2002). Perimeters of forest .res
171 from 1961 to 2007 (G. Cesti, unpublished data) were digitized and georeferenced, and affected areas were
172 excluded from further analysis (Yuhas and Scuderi 2009), in order to differentiate dieoff from reductions in
173 reflectance caused by stand replacing disturbances. It is noteworthy that clearcutting is not routinely carried
174 out in the study area.

175 The subtraction produced an image dataset where positive or negative values represented areas of change;
176 values close to zero indicated areas relatively unchanged (Muchoney and Haack 1994). We assumed that
177 ΔEVI was normally distributed with a zero mean. In order to distinguish reflectance anomalies from random
178 or systematic error (Morissette and Khorram 2000), we classified as decline pixels those where ΔEVI was
179 lower than (mean -1 SD), as computed from the full scene (Fung and LeDrew 1988). The ΔEVI map was
180 then clipped to the regional distribution of Scots pine in both the study and comparison site and that of
181 Norway spruce in the study area as a control species (see Table 3 for summary site characteristics).

182 We computed summary statistics for EVI at different sites and image years. After failing to detect normality
183 of EVI distributions (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), we assessed the significance of EVI temporal trend at each
184 site by means of a pairwise Wilcoxon test. We computed decline incidence for each species and site as the
185 ratio between declining and total pixel counts. We also carried out a pairwise comparison of pixel-based
186 ΔEVI between the study and control site, by means of a two-sample t test, or a Welch test where the
187 homoscedasticity assumption was not met.

188

189
190

Table 2. Plot data used in validation of reflectance-based estimates of pine decline.

Plot network	References	# Plots	Plot area	Country	Crown transparency assessment	Years of assessment
Regional Forest Inventory	Gottero et al. (2007)	87	200–700 m ²	Piemonte (IT)	3 or more dominant trees	1999–2001
Swiss Alps 1	Rigling et al. (2006), Weber et al. (2008)	2	500 m ²	Wallis (CH)	All pine trees	2000, 2001, 2005, 2006
Swiss Alps 2	Bigler et al. (2006), Wermelinger et al. (2008)	2	1 ha	Wallis (CH)	250 (co)dominant pine trees	2000, 2001
Swiss Alps 3	M. Dobbertin, u.d.	1	2,000 m ²	Wallis (CH)	All pine trees	2003–2006
Italian Alps 1	Vacchiano et al. (2008) G. Nicolotti, u.d.	13	4,900 m ²	Valle d'Aosta, Piemonte (IT)	All pine trees	2005–2007
Italian Alps 2	G. Vacchiano, u.d.	9	5,000 m ²	Valle d'Aosta, Piemonte (IT)	Visual, plot-wise ^a	2004–2007

191

192 u.d. Unpublished data

193 ^aThe assessment was carried out by visual assessment of crown conditions (average defoliation and extremes)

194

195 Table 3. Topographic Count Elevation (m a.s.l.) HLI Slope (.)characteristics of sites corresponding to the pixels used in this study
196 (mean ± SD).

	Count	Elevation (m a.s.l.)	HLI	Slope (°)
Study area	862	1,368 ± 285	0.51 ± 0.36	21.7 ± 6.7
Control	417	1,131 ± 235	0.42 ± 0.34	19.4 ± 8.2
Norway spruce	2,080	1,652 ± 169	0.70 ± 0.29	19.3 ± 8.1

197

198

199 In order to assess the effect of site variables on decline incidence, we extracted elevation, aspect, slope, and
200 solar irradiance (MWh m⁻² year⁻¹) for each pixel from a region-wide digital elevation model (DEM) with a
201 grain of 50 m, subsequently downscaled to 250 m. Aspect data were transformed to heat load index (HLI)
202 (McCune and Keon 2002). Differences in dieback incidence between classes of topographical variables were
203 assessed by means of multiple Chi-square tests.

204 All analyses were carried out at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ (2-tailed); software used included ENVI 4.3
205 (ITT Visual Information Solutions, Boulder CO) for image processing, ArcGIS Desktop 9.3 (ESRI Inc.,
206 Redlands CA) for geographical data handling, and SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL) for statistical
207 analyses.

208

209 *Effect of predisposing factors*

210

211 We employed path analysis (Shipley 2000) to assess the effect size of predisposing factors on pine decline.
212 Path analysis is a specialized version of Structural Equation Models, testing the relationships between the
213 putative causal variables (climate, site, and stand characteristics) and the hypothesized effect. Path analysis
214 allows modeling of both observed (manifest) and unmeasured (latent) variables. A graphical conceptual
215 model is presented (Fig. 3) for all pixels including a RFI plot ($n = 105$ after exclusion of outliers and plots
216 with less than 5 tallied tree). Arrows symbolize cause-and-effect relationships between variables that are

217 represented by rectangles (manifest) and ellipses (latent). The response variable was Pine decline, i.e., the
 218 EVI difference between 2000 and 2007. Independent variables included:


219

220 1. Stand structure variables on a per-hectare basis for each RFI plot, including tree density, basal area (BA),
 221 percent abundance of Scots pine on plot BA, quadratic mean diameter (QMD), percent standing mortality,
 222 and Reineke's (1933) Stand Density Index (SDI) as an indicator of competition intensity (Shaw 2000).

223

224 2. Environmental variables: HLI, solar irradiance, elevation, and slope - all considered as proxies for water
 225 availability, due to the absence of a high-resolution network of weather stations on the ground.

226



227

228

229 *Fig. 3 - Conceptual model of decline predisposing factors.*

230

231 The conceptual model was tested first on all data, then on two subsamples based on an elevation cutoff of
 232 1,250 m a.s.l. This allowed us to take into account potential dissimilarities in the decline process
 233 characterizing different ecological sectors of Scots pine distribution.

234 Variable effects were quantified by standardized regression coefficients (β). Quantitative model
 235 comparisons used a combination of Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) statistic and the Root Mean
 236 Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) that is relatively independent of sample size. The models with the
 237 smallest AIC statistic were selected as the most parsimonious ones (Hu and Bentler 1999). A model with
 238 RMSEA<0.06 was considered a good fit (Hu and Bentler 1999). Path analyses were conducted using Mx
 239 software that works with covariance matrices as input data and a maximum likelihood (ML) fit function
 240 (Neale 1994).

241

242

243

244

245

246 *Table 4 Validation of EVI against crown transparency measured on the ground as predicted by logistic regression models.*
 247

Year of assessment	Model	Producer's accuracy (decline pixels)	KHAT
0 (<i>n</i> = 41)	EVI, intercept	0.14	0.04
	EVI	n.s.	n.s.
0, +1 (<i>n</i> = 57)	EVI, intercept	0.30	0.18
	EVI	0.80	0.22 ^a

248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254


Year of assessment: time lag of ground survey to remotely sensed data
 n.s. model not significant
^a Significant at alpha = 0.05

255 **Results**

256

257 EVI was successfully validated against plot-level crown transparency (Table 4). The best validation metrics
 258 were provided by ground truth sampled with a +0 or +1 year lag from the satellite image (*n* = 57).
 259 Descriptive statistics and dynamic range of EVI in the control and study area (2 species) are displayed in
 260 Table 5. Control stands constantly exhibited the highest mean EVI; the spectral response of Norway spruce
 261 cover showed a wider range, but lower mean and dispersion than Scots pine across the entire study period.
 262 While mean EVI at each site significantly increased in time, Scots pine cover in the study area exhibited a
 263 very limited change (+3.9%), as opposed to the larger increase in mean reflectance experienced by the
 264 control species and site (+11.0 and +14.2%, respectively) (Fig. 4). Additionally, Scots pine in the study area
 265 showed increasingly lower extremes, while EVI minima in the control stands experi-enced an increase
 266 similar to that of average values.
 267 All 7-year ΔEVI values were positive, showing a mean increase in greenness at all sites. The variability of
 268 ΔEVI was comparable, but on average, the improvement expe-rienced by Scots pine cover was much more
 269 limited, e.g., 0.016 versus 0.06 in the control stands and 0.04 in Norway spruce sites, respectively. A two-
 270 sample t test significantly segregated pine ΔEVI from both control and Norway spruce sites (*P* < 0.001). The
 271 incidence of 7-year decline was 6.3% in the study area, i.e., almost double than in the control area and
 272 manifold greater than in Norway spruce cover type (Table 6).
 273 In declining Scots pine cover, topographical variables significantly correlated to EVI throughout the study
 274 period (Table 7). The spectral index was inversely related to elevation, heat load, and irradiance, with larger
 275 correlation coefficients in the year 2003. When pixels were appor-tioned to topography classes (Fig. 5), Chi-
 276 square tests evidenced a significantly higher decline incidence at low lying (below vs. above 1,200 m a.s.l., *P*
 277 = 0.002) and north-facing sites (*P* = 0.010 vs. all southerly octants).
 278 In order to describe the influence of predisposing factors on pine decline, more than 60 alternative path
 279 models were tested. When applied to the full dataset, the path models did not yield significant results.

280 Conversely, two alternative models (Fig. 6), one each for lower elevation and higher elevation pine forests,
 281 emerged as having significant sup-port. At higher elevation, environmental factors alone appeared to have a
 282 significant influence on decline (Fig. 6b). These included a direct effect of elevation ($\beta = 0.38$), slope ($\beta =$
 283 0.17), and aspect ($\beta = 0.32$), with sun-exposed sites more subject to decline.
 284 The model for low-elevation stands had less explanatory power, i.e., lower goodness of fit (Table 8), but
 285 additionally included an explicit influence of stand structural variables (Fig. 6a), i.e., percent Scots pine and
 286 SDI. In particular, mixed forests with low SDI resulted more prone to decline. Significant environmental
 287 variables for model (a) included slope ($\beta = 0.31$) and aspect, with sun-exposed sites less sensitive to decline
 288 ($\beta = -0.12$).



289
 290 *Fig. 4 - Temporal trend of mean EVI in the study (2 species) and control sites. Bars represent standard error of estimate for each*
 291 *year and site*

293 *Table 5. Statistical descriptors of EVI for selected monitoring years in the control and study area (2 species).*

		Mean	SD	Min	Max	Range	Count
Year 2000	Study area	0.397	0.094	0.202	0.680	0.478	862
	Control	0.438	0.096	0.249	0.706	0.456	417
	Norway spruce	0.367	0.080	0.187	0.797	0.610	2,080
Year 2003	Study area	0.397	0.094	0.151	0.734	0.582	862
	Control	0.503	0.092	0.231	0.843	0.611	413
	Norway spruce	0.373	0.084	0.152	0.873	0.721	2,080
Year 2005	Study area	0.384	0.093	0.158	0.669	0.512	857
	Control	0.466	0.096	0.268	0.764	0.496	417
	Norway spruce	0.352	0.066	0.197	0.697	0.500	2,068
Year 2007	Study area	0.412	0.095	0.151	0.723	0.572	862
	Control	0.501	0.089	0.317	0.771	0.454	417
	Norway spruce	0.407	0.082	0.153	0.934	0.781	2,080

297 Discussion

299 MODIS has the potential for identifying large, homogeneous damaged areas; omission errors may occur for
 300 small patches (less than 1.5 ha according to Lunetta et al. 2006) or low defoliation severities. Past studies
 301 using reflectance indices as a diagnostic element have provided mixed results, likely due to the confounding

factors that affect EVI at different sites, i.e., stand structure (Carter et al. 1989; Guyot et al. 1989; Asner 1998), topography (Burgess et al. 1995; Matsushita et al. 2007), or radiometric errors (Myneni and Asrar 1994). However, the classification of “decline” pixels was fairly accurate when tested against crown transparency of the current and subsequent year (consistent with findings by Heikkilä et al. 2002). It is noteworthy that EVI provided some predictive power, being able to spot changes associated with decline some-what earlier than ground-based estimates.

The dynamic range and distribution of EVI in this analysis were consistent with previous findings (Huete et al. 2002; Li et al. 2007). As our study showed, spruce canopies generally have lower reflectance than pine (Kleiman 1986).

Contrary to expectations, we witnessed a mean increase in vegetation greenness throughout the study period. However, this can be explained by a region-wide advance of the growing season in the year 2007 (Colombo et al. 2009). Spring 2007 and Spring 2003 exhibited the two highest temperatures on record in the Alps (Rutishauser et al. 2008), which affected leaf dynamics and hence canopy reflectance, making our interpretation difficult.

Minimum EVI increased in the control and Norway spruce sites, but decreased in Scots pine cover, suggesting that canopy stress occurred on sensitive sites. Vegetation greenness exhibited meaningful topographical gradients, i.e., higher at low elevations and moister (cooler) sites. Correlation analysis showed that reflectance was inversely related to irradiance and heat load, especially in spring 2003 when the drought spell began.

The incidence of decline was moderate in the study area (6%) but significantly higher than in the control site, which conformed to our hypothesis. We detected pine forests as being more sensitive to decline below 1,200 m a.s.l. Similar studies have detected a prevalence of drought-induced decline events at low-lying sites, due to the unfavorable temperature and precipitation gradients (e.g., Allen and Breshears 1998; Dobbervin et al. 2005; Jolly et al. 2005; Knutson and Pyke 2008).

Table 6. Summary of EVI anomalies (2007–2000) and incidence of significant decline (EVI anomalies \setminus [mean -1 SD]) for 250 m pixels in the study and control area.

Site	Pixel count	Mean Δ EVI	SD Δ EVI	Decline pixels	Decline incidence (%)	Total cover (ha)	Decline cover (ha)
Study area	862	0.0155	0.0846	54	6.3	5,387.5	337.5
Control	417	0.0623	0.0555	1	0.2	2,606.2	6.2
Norway spruce	2,080	0.0404	0.0837	80	3.8	13,000.0	500.0

Table 7. Correlation between EVI and topographic variables for the study area

Pearson's R	EVI 2000	EVI 2003	EVI 2005	EVI 2007
HAI	−0.161 ^a	−0.298 ^a	−0.158 ^a	−0.145 ^a
Elevation	−0.322 ^a	−0.320 ^a	−0.216 ^a	−0.333 ^a
Slope	0.024 ^a	0.047	0.062	0.067 ^a
Solar radiation	−0.092 ^a	−0.191 ^a	−0.043	−0.119 ^a

^a Correlation significant at $\alpha = 0.05$




Fig. 5- Incidence of decline (i.e., relative frequency of decline pixels) in Scots pine stands as a function of elevation and aspect for the study area.

The higher incidence of decline on north-facing slopes (low HLI) contradicted both our expectations and the results from previous studies (e.g., Rigling et al. 2006; Yuhás and Scuderi 2009). However, Quaglino et al. (1987) had already detected, in the same study area, more severe dieback in mixed, low-elevation forests of north-facing slopes. We offer two alternative explanations for this: (1) pines on southern slopes may be physiologically adapted to regular drought conditions (Letts et al. 2009); (2) due to different land use in the study area, and not to aspect itself, pine forests occur at low elevations on northern slopes and on southern slopes at high elevations.³ The latter have already been shown as being less sensitive to decline.




Fig. 6 - Path diagrams for Scots pine forests at **a** low (<1,250 m a.s.l.) and **b** high (>1,250 m a.s.l.) elevations, showing the effect of environmental (gray) and stand structural variables (white) on DEVI response. Continuous arrows positive paths; dashed arrows negative paths. Thickness of path vectors corresponds to the strength of the effect. Only significant path coefficients are presented next to each path.

³ Pixels with pine coverage > 90% (n = 594) exhibited a significant difference in mean elevation between southern slopes (1,501 m a.s.l.) and northern ones (1,253 m a.s.l., P < 0.001 after Welch *t* test).

357 **Table 8.** Fit indices for path models of pine decline at (a) low (<1,250 m a.s.l.) and (b) high (>1,250 m) elevations.

Model	ML χ^2	df	P	RMSEA	AIC
(a)	7.941	5	0.160	0.121	-2.059
(b)	6.018	11	0.872	<0.001	-15.982

359 AIC Akaike’s Information Criterion; RMSEA Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; *df* degrees of freedom; ML χ^2 maximum
360 likelihood χ^2 fit function value and its P probability.

361
362 This was a good reason to test predisposing factors in a multivariate way, accounting for both their direct and
363 indirect effects. No significant model could be fit to the totality of plots. Hence, we developed models for
364 two different elevation belts, assuming that the causal chain in the area with high decline incidence (1,250 m
365 a.s.l. and below) would differ from that associated to the low-incidence area. In the latter, environmental
366 factors alone drove changes in greenness, with a direct effect of elevation and aspect. Mid-elevation forests
367 could benefit more than high elevation from the anticipation of the 2007 growing season and showed larger
368 increases in photosynthetic activity. Southerly slopes were more sensitive to decline, suggesting that when
369 precipitation is not limiting, high temperature and radiation directly impact pine tree vitality.
370 Below 1,250 m a.s.l. the process differed. Environmental factors had an inverse effect on decline, in that
371 low lying, northerly exposed stands were more sensitive. In continental valleys, precipitation is limiting at
372 low elevations. In this case, the negative impact of repeated pre-precipitation shortage was more important than
373 that of temperature, a fact that produced a negative elevation gradient in pine decline. We have already
374 explained the potential causes for a higher sensitivity of northerly aspects in low-lying forests. Slope also
375 played a significant role: on sites with an unfavorable water balance, steepness may be associated to thinner
376 soils, and hence, more severe water stress after drought events.
377 In these stands, the degree of mixture was slightly associated to decline, confirming that interspecific
378 competition has the potential to expose pines to further stress (Weber et al. 2007). On the other hand, we
379 detected a strong effect of stand density, in that sparser stands were more prone to decline. This
380 counterintuitive result could once again be linked to water balance: sparser stands exhibit a higher cover by
381 the herb and shrub layers, which may exert a strong competition for water due to their extensive root systems
382 (McMurtrie and Wolf 1983). Alternatively, low-density pine stands may have developed on poor sites (e.g.,
383 rocky out-crops), where drought events can severely impact an already limiting water balance. Finally,
384 infection by mistletoe, a shade-intolerant, hemi-parasitic species common in the study area, preferentially
385 occurs on open-grown trees and spreads faster in open stands (Vallauri 1998). Mistletoe negatively affects a
386 plant’s water balance, acting as a supplementary evapotranspiratory organ for the branches it infects, and
387 has already been found as a contributing factor to pine decline North of the Alps (Dobbertin and Rigling
388 2006; Rigling et al. 2010).

Conclusion

The study was designed to evaluate the impact of drought as a potential key factor limiting the vitality in Scots pine stands. The study was carried out in Valle d'Aosta, an inner-alpine valley subject to multi-year drought episodes (average precipitation: 494 mm year⁻¹), as those between 2003 and 2006. To assess the drought decline hypothesis, pine stands with a typical mesic climate (average 1,479 mm year⁻¹) were used as a control.

The major achievements of this study were: (1) producing a spatially explicit estimate of the extent and incidence of 7-year decline in Scots pine vitality across the study area. Significant decline of canopy greenness occurred on 337 ha, or 6.8% of overall pine cover, at a 250-m grain; (2) ascertaining the role of drought in Scots pine decline, since reflectance changes of pine cover were significantly higher than both the control species and the control site; (3) evaluating the effect of potential factors predisposing stands to decline, including site, climate, and stand structure. Path analysis suggested that sparser stands, low-elevation and north-facing slopes were more prone to decline. Where precipitation was limiting (i.e., low-elevation stands), environmental and stand structural variables interacted in predisposing water-stressed sites to decline. At higher elevations, environmental drivers alone affected pine decline.

The novelty of our approach lied in linking information from remotely sensed data to ground-based forest inventories, in the study versus control design of drought response analysis, and in the multivariate investigation of direct and indirect causal drivers.

Scots pine proved to be a sensitive species, showing early signs of vitality decline that may be remotely detected even by coarse-resolution, readily available satellite imagery (MODIS). Furthermore, MODIS-derived EVI provided some predictive power, being able to spot changes associated to decline earlier than ground-based estimates. “Early warning” systems (Verbesselt et al. 2009) and decision support systems aimed at prioritizing the management of drought-sensitive pine forests may be designed based on such approach.

Acknowledgments

This research has been supported by European Commission, Regione Piemonte (Direzione Opere pubbliche, Difesa del suolo, Economia Montana e Foreste), Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta (Direzione Foreste) and Canton du Valais (Services des forêts et du paysage)—project INTERREG IIIA 2000–2006 Italia-Svizzera “Le pinete delle vallate alpine: un elemento del paesaggio in mutazione”. We acknowledge I.P.L.A. S.p.A. and all data providers, the crew of the Swiss Federal research Institute WSL-Birmensdorf, L. Giordano and A. Rigling for field sampling, D. Godone and F. Pirotti for helping out with remote sensing techniques, J. N. Long, R. J. DeRose and anonymous reviewers for useful comments.

430 **References**

- 431
- 432 Allen CD, Breshears DD (1998) Drought-induced shift of a forest-woodland ecotone: rapid landscape
433 response to climate variation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 95:14839–14842
- 434 Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell N, Vennetier M, Kitzberger T, Rigling A,
435 Breshears DD, Hogg EH, Gonzalez P, Fensham R, Zhang Z, Castro J, Demidova N, Lim J-H, Allard G,
436 Running SW, Semerci A, Cobb N (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality
437 reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *For Ecol Manag* 259:660–684
- 438 Asner GP (1998) Biophysical and biochemical sources of variability in canopy reflectance. *Remote Sens*
439 *Environ* 64:234–253 Bannari A, Morin D, Bonn F, Huete AR (1995) A review of vegetation indices.
440 *Remote Sens Rev* 13:95–120
- 441 Baumgartner A, Reichel E, Weber G (1983) Der Wasserhaushalt der Alpen: Niederschlag, Verdunstung,
442 Abfluss und Gletscherspende im Gesamtgebiet der Alpen im Jahresdurchschnitt für die Normalperiode
443 1931–1960. Verlag Oldenbourg, München
- 444 Biancotti A, Bellardone G, Bovo S, Cagnazzi B, Giacomelli L, Marchisio C (1998) Distribuzione regionale
445 di piogge e temperature. Regione Piemonte, Torino
- 446 Bigler C, Bräker OU, Bugmann H, Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2006) Drought as an inciting mortality factor in
447 Scots pine stands of the Valais, Switzerland. *Ecosystems* 9:330–343
- 448 Breda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E (2006) Temperate forest trees and stands under severe drought: a
449 review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-term consequences. *Ann For Sci*
450 63:625–644
- 451 Breshears DD, Cobb NS, Rich PM, Price KP, Allen CD, Balice RG, Romme WH, Kastens JH, Floyd ML,
452 Belnap J (2005) Regional vegetation die-off in response to global-change-type drought. *Proc Natl Acad*
453 *Sci* 102:15144–15148
- 454 Burgess DW, Lewis P, Muller J (1995) Topographic effects in AVHRR NDVI data. *Remote Sens Environ*
455 54:223–232 Camerano P, Terzuolo PG, Varese P (2007) I tipi forestali della Valle d’Aosta. Compagnia
456 delle Foreste, Arezzo
- 457 Carter GA (1993) Responses of leaf spectral reflectance to plant stress. *Am J Bot* 80:239–243
- 458 Carter GA, Knapp AK (2001) Leaf optical properties in higher plants: linking spectral characteristics to
459 stress and chlorophyll concentration. *Am J Bot* 88:677–684
- 460 Carter GA, Paliwal K, Pathre U, Green TH, Mitchell RJ, Gjerstad DH (1989) Effect of competition and leaf
461 age on visible and infrared reflectance in pine foliage. *Plant Cell Environ* 12:309–315
- 462 Cech TL, Perny B (2000) Kiefernsterben in Tirol. *Forstschutz Aktuell Wien* 22:12–15
- 463 Cohen J (1960) A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educ Psychol Meas* 20:37–46
- 464 Collins JB, Woodcock CE (1996) An assessment of several linear change detection techniques for mapping
465 forest mortality using multitemporal Landsat TM data. *Remote Sens Environ* 56:66–77

Colombo R, Busetto L, Migliavacca M, Cremonese E, Meroni M, Galvagno M, Rossini M, Siniscalco C, Morra di Cella U (2009) On the spatial and temporal variability of Larch phenological cycle in mountainous areas. *Ital J Remote Sens* 41:79–96

Coppin P, Jonckheere I, Nackaerts K, Muys B (2004) Digital change detection in environmental monitoring: a review. *Int J Remote Sens* 25:1565–1596

Costantini EAC, L'Abate G, Urbano F (2004) Soil regions of Italy. CRA-ISSDS, Firenze

Deshayes M, Guyon D, Jeanjean H, Stach N, Jolly A, Hagolle O (2006) The contribution of remote sensing to the assessment of drought effects in forest ecosystems. *Ann For Sci* 63:579–595

Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2006) Pine mistletoe (*Viscum album* ssp *austriacum*) contributes to Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) mortality in the Rhone valley of Switzerland. *For Pathol* 36:309–322

Dobbertin M, Mayer P, Wohlgemuth T, Feldmeyer-Christe E, Graf U, Zimmermann NE, Rigling A (2005) The decline of *Pinus sylvestris* L. forests in the Swiss Rhone Valley—a result of drought stress? *Phyton* 45:153–156

Eilmann B, Weber P, Rigling A, Eckstein D (2006) Growth reactions of *Pinus sylvestris* L. and *Quercus pubescens* Willd to drought years at a xeric site in Valais, Switzerland. *Dendrochronologia* 23:121–132

Falkenstrom H, Ekstrand S (2002) Evaluation of IRS-1c LISS-3 satellite data for defoliation assessment on Norway spruce and Scots pine. *Remote Sens Environ* 82:208–223

Fung T, LeDrew E (1988) The determination of optimal threshold levels for change detection using various accuracy indices. *Photogramm Eng Remote Sens* 54:1449–1454

Giordano L, Gonthier P, Varese GC, Miserere L, Nicolotti G (2009) Mycobiota inhabiting sapwood of healthy and declining Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) trees in the Alps. *Fungal Divers* 38:69–83

Giuggiola A, Kuster TM, Saha S (2010) Drought-induced mortality of Scots pines at the southern limits of its distribution in Europe: causes and consequences. *iForest* 3:95–97

Gonthier P, Giordano L, Nicolotti G (2007) Sui disseccamenti acuti e generalizzati del pino silvestre nell'envers della media Valle d'Aosta. *L'informatore Agricolo* 23:41–45

Gonthier P, Giordano L, Nicolotti G (2010) Further observations on sudden diebacks of Scots pine in the European Alps. *For Chron* 86:110–117

Gottero F, Ebone A, Terzuolo P, Camerano P (2007) I Boschi del Piemonte: conoscenza e indirizzi gestionali. Regione Piemonte, Blu Edizioni, Torino

Guarín A, Taylor AH (2005) Drought triggered tree mortality in mixed conifer forests in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. *For Ecol Manag* 218:229–244

Guyot G, Guyon D, Riou J (1989) Factors affecting the spectral response of forest canopies: a review. *Geocarto Int* 4:3–18

Hasenauer H, Nemani RR, Schadauer K, Running SW (1999) Forest growth response to changing climate between 1961 and 1990 in Austria. *For Ecol Manag* 122:209–219

Heikkilä J, Nevalainen S, Tokola T (2002) Estimating defoliation in boreal coniferous forests by combining Landsat TM, aerial photographs and field data. *For Ecol Manag* 158:9–23

503 Holben B (1986) Characteristics of maximum-value composite images from temporal AVHRR data. *Int J*
 504 *Remote Sens* 7:1417–1434
 505 Hu L, Bentler P (1999) Cutoff criteria for χ^2 indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria
 506 versus new alternatives. *Struct Equ Model* 6:1–55
 507 Huete AR, Didan K, Miura T, Rodriguez EP, Gao X, Ferreira LG (2002) Overview of the radiometric and
 508 biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. *Remote Sens Environ* 83:195–213
 509 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2007) Climate change 2007: the scientific basis. IPCC Fourth
 510 assessment report. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
 511 Jackson RD (1986) Remote sensing of biotic and abiotic plant stress. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 24:265–287
 512 Jarvis A, Reuter HI, Nelson A, Guevara E (2008) Hole-filled seamless SRTM data V4. International Centre
 513 for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT). <http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org>. Accessed 6 June 2009
 514 Jolly WM, Dobbertin M, Zimmermann NE, Reichstein M (2005) Divergent vegetation growth responses to
 515 the 2003 heat wave in the Swiss Alps. *Geophys Res Lett* 32:L18409
 516 Justice CO, Vermote E, Townshend JRG, Defries R, Roy DP, Hall DK, Salomonson VV, Privette JL, Riggs
 517 G, Strahler A (1998) The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS): land remote
 518 sensing for global change research. *IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens* 36:1228–1249
 519 Kleman J (1986) The spectral reflectance of stands of Norway spruce and Scotch pine, measured from a
 520 helicopter. *Remote Sens Environ* 20:253–265
 521 Knutson KC, Pyke DA (2008) Western juniper and ponderosa pine ecotonal climate-growth relationships
 522 across landscape gradients in southern Oregon. *Can J For Res* 38:3021–3032
 523 Letts MG, Nakonechny KN, Van Gaalen KE, Smith CM (2009) Physiological acclimation of *Pinus .exilis* to
 524 drought stress on contrasting slope aspects in Waterton Lakes National Park, Alberta, Canada. *Can J For*
 525 *Res* 39:629–641
 526 Li HJ, Zheng L, Lei YP, Li CQ, Zhou K (2007) Comparison of NDVI and EVI based on EOS/MODIS data.
 527 *Prog Geogr* 26:26–32
 528 Liu WT, Kogan FN (1996) Monitoring regional drought using the vegetation condition index. *Int J Remote*
 529 *Sens* 17:2761–2782
 530 Logan JA, Regniere J, Powell JA (2003) Assessing the impacts of global warming on forest pest dynamics.
 531 *Front Ecol Environ* 1:130–137
 532 Lu D, Mausel P, Brondizio E, Moran E (2004) Change detection techniques. *Int J Remote Sens* 25:2365–
 533 2401
 534 Lunetta RS, Knight JF, Ediriwickrema J, Lyon JG, Worthy LD (2006) Land-cover change detection using
 535 multi-temporal MODIS NDVI data. *Remote Sens Environ* 105:142–154
 536 Manion PD (1991) Tree disease concepts. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs
 537 Matsushita B, Yang W, Chen J, Onda Y, Qiu G (2007) Sensitivity of the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)
 538 and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) to topographic effects: a case study in high-density
 539 cypress forest. *Sensors* 7:2636–2651

540 McCune B, Keon D (2002) Equations for potential annual direct incident radiation and heat load. *J Veg Sci*
 541 13:603–606
 542 McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, Kolb T, Plaut J, Sperry J, West A, Williams
 543 DG (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while
 544 others succumb to drought? *New Phytol* 178:719–739
 545 McMurtrie R, Wolf L (1983) A model of competition between trees and grass for radiation, water and
 546 nutrients. *Ann Bot* 52:449–458
 547 Minerbi S, Cescatti A, Cherubini P, Hellrigl K, Markart G, Saurer M, Mutinelli C (2006) La siccita`
 548 dell'estate 2003 causa di disseccamenti del pino silvestre in Val d'Isarco. *For Obs* 2(3):89–144
 549 Morisette JT, Khorram S (2000) Accuracy assessment curves for satellite-based change detection.
 550 *Photogramm Eng Remote Sens* 66:875–880
 551 Muchoney DM, Haack BN (1994) Change detection for monitoring forest defoliation. *Photogramm Eng*
 552 *Remote Sens* 60:1243–1251
 553 Myneni RB, Asrar G (1994) Atmospheric effects and spectral vegetation indices. *Remote Sens Environ*
 554 47:390–402
 555 Neale MC (1994) MxGui 3.2. Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.
 556 <http://www.vcu.edu/mx>. Accessed 1 Apr 2011
 557 Oberhuber W, Stumbo`ck M, Ko`er W (1998) Climate-tree-growth relationships of Scots pine stands (*Pinus*
 558 *sylvestris* L.) exposed to soil dryness. *Trees* 13:19–27
 559 Ozenda P (1985) La ve`ge'tation de la chai`ne alpine dans l'espace montagnard europe'e'n. Masson, Paris
 560 Pen`uelas J, Filella I (1998) Visible and near-infrared re.ectance techniques for diagnosing plant
 561 physiological status. *Trends Plant Sci* 3:151–156
 562 Peters AJ, Rundquist DC, Wilhite DA (1991) Satellite detection of the geographic core of the 1988 Nebraska
 563 drought. *Agric For Meteorol* 57:35–47
 564 Polonski J, Scho`nfeld U, Braasch H, Dobbertin M, Burgermeister W, Rigling D (2006) Occurrence of
 565 *Bursaphelenchus* species in declining *Pinus sylvestris* in a dry Alpine valley in Switzerland. *For Pathol*
 566 36:110–118
 567 Quaglino A, Mondino GP, Nosenzo A, Borelli M, Motta R, Pividori M (1987) DEFOR86: Deperimento
 568 delle foreste in Valle d'Aosta—Possibili rapporti con l'inquinamento atmosferico. *Universita` degli Studi*
 569 *di Torino e Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta, Aosta*
 570 Rebetez M, Dobbertin M (2004) Climate change may already threaten Scots pine stands in the Swiss Alps.
 571 *Theor Appl Clim* 79:1–9
 572 Rebetez M, Dupont O, Giroud M (2009) An analysis of the July 2006 heatwave extent in Europe compared
 573 to the record year of 2003. *Theor Appl Clim* 95:1–7
 574 Reineke LH (1933) Perfecting a stand-density index for even-aged forests. *J Agric Res* 46:627–638

575 Rigling A, Dobbertin M, Buergi M, Gimmi U, Pannatier E, Gugerli F, Heiniger U, Polomski J, Rebetez M,
 576 Rigling D (2006) Verdrängen Flaumeichen die Walliser Waldfohren? Merkblatt für die Praxis 41:1–
 577 16

578 Rigling A, Eilmann B, Köchli R, Dobbertin M (2010) Mistletoe-induced crown degradation in Scots pine in
 579 a xeric environment. *Tree Physiol* 30:845–852

580 Rosenfeld GH, Fitzpatrick-Lins K (1986) A coefficient of agreement as a measure of thematic classification
 581 accuracy in remote sensing. *Photogramm Eng Remote Sens* 52:223–227

582 Rouault G, Candau JN, Lieutier F, Nageleisen LM, Martin JC, Warzeń N (2006) Effects of drought and heat
 583 on forest insect populations in relation to the 2003 drought in Western Europe. *Ann For Sci* 63:613–624

584 Rutishauser T, Luterbacher J, Dela C, Frank D, Wanner H (2008) Swiss spring plant phenology 2007:
 585 extremes, a multi-century perspective, and changes in temperature sensitivity. *Geophys Res Lett*
 586 35:L05703

587 Schaer C, Vidale PL, Lüthi D, Frei C, Häberli C, Liniger MA, Appenzeller C (2004) The role of increasing
 588 temperature variability in European summer heatwaves. *Nature* 427:332–336

589 Shaw JD (2000) Application of stand density index to irregularly structured stands. *West J Appl For* 15:40–
 590 42

591 Shaw JD (2006) Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) annual inventory answers the question: what is
 592 happening to pinyon-juniper woodlands? *J For* 103:280–286

593 Shipley B (2000) Cause and correlation in biology: a user's guide to path analysis, structural equations and
 594 causal inference. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

595 Thabeet A, Vennetier M, Gadbin-Henry C, Denelle N, Roux M, Caraglio Y, Vila B (2009) Response of
 596 *Pinus sylvestris* L. to recent climatic events in the French Mediterranean region. *Trees* 23:843–853

597 Toscano S. (2008) L'utilizzo di immagini satellitari per l'individuazione dei cambiamenti nella componente
 598 vegetazionale del territorio: aspetti procedurali critici e possibili soluzioni. Dissertation, Università degli
 599 Studi di Torino, Torino

600 Townshend JRG, Justice CO, Gurney C, McManus J (1992) The impact of misregistration on change
 601 detection. *IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens* 30:1054–1060

602 Tucker CJ (1979) Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation. *Remote*
 603 *Sens Environ* 8:127–150

604 Vacchiano G, Dobbertin M, Egli S, Giordano L, Gonthier P, Mazzoglio P, Motta R, Nola P, Nicolotti G,
 605 Patetta A, Polomski J, Rigling A, Rigling D (2008) Il deperimento del pino silvestre nelle Alpi
 606 occidentali: natura ed indirizzi di gestione. Compagnia delle Foreste, Arezzo

607 Vallauri D (1998) Parasite dynamics of *Viscum album* L. in Austrian black pine stands in the Saionn
 608 watershed (southwestern Alps). *Ann For Sci* 55:823–835

609 van der Schrier G, Efthymiadis D, Briffa KR, Jones PD (2007) European Alpine moisture variability for
 610 1800–2003. *Int J Clim* 27:415–427

611 van Leeuwen WJD, Huete AR, Laing TW (1999) MODIS vegetation index compositing approach: a
612 prototype with AVHRR data. *Remote Sens Environ* 69:264–280

613 van Mantgem PJ, Stephenson NL, Byrne JC, Daniels LD, Franklin JF, Fule PZ, Harmon ME, Larson AJ,
614 Smith JM, Taylor AH (2009) Widespread increases of tree mortality rates in the western United States.
615 *Science* 323:521–524

616 Verbesselt J, Robinson A, Stone C, Culvenor D (2009) Forecasting tree mortality using change metrics
617 derived from MODIS satellite data. *For Ecol Manag* 258:1166–1173

618 Vermote EF, El Saleous NZ, Justice CO (2002) Atmospheric correction of MODIS data in the visible to
619 middle infrared: first results. *Remote Sens Environ* 83:97–111

620 Waring RH (1987) Characteristics of trees predisposed to die. *Bioscience* 37:569–574

621 Weber P, Bugmann H, Rigling A (2007) Radial growth responses to drought of *Pinus sylvestris* and *Quercus*
622 *pubescens* in an inner-Alpine dry valley. *J Veg Sci* 18:777–792

623 Weber P, Bugmann H, Fonti P, Rigling A (2008) Using a retrospective dynamic competition index to
624 reconstruct forest succession. *For Ecol Manag* 254:96–106

625 Wermelinger B, Rigling A, Schneider Mathis D, Dobbertin M (2008) Assessing the role of bark-and wood-
626 boring insects in the decline of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) in the Swiss Rhone valley. *Ecol Entomol*
627 33:239–249

628 Wulff S (2002) The accuracy of forest damage assessments: experiences from Sweden. *Environ Monit*
629 *Assess* 74:295–309

630 Xiao X, Hollinger D, Aber J, Goltz M, Davidson EA, Zhang Q, Moore B (2004) Satellite-based modeling of
631 gross primary production in an evergreen needleleaf forest. *Remote Sens Environ* 89:519–534

632 Yuhas AN, Scuderi LA (2009) MODIS-derived NDVI characterisation of drought-induced evergreen dieoff
633 in western North America. *Geogr Res* 47:34–45

634 Zarnoch SJ, Bechtold WA, Stolte KW (2004) Using crown condition variables as indicators of forest health.
635 *Can J For Res* 34:1057–1070

636